

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/030,255 | 01/09/2002 | Michel Hazard | T2146-907683 | 8944 | |
| 181 75 | 90 04/06/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC 1751 PINNACLE DRIVE | | | NGUYEN, NAM V | | |
| SUITE 500 | DE DIGVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| MCLEAN, VA | 22102-3833 | | 2635 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | d | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/030,255 | HAZARD, MICHEL | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| - | Nam V Nguyen | 2635 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | correspondence ado | lross |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | • | 7633 |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filir applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the replication in the mailing date of the replication in the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the replication. | ng a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba) an amendment, affidavit, or other opeal (with appeal fee) in compliand ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | andonment of this app evidence, which place ewith 37 CFR 41.31; | es the or (3) a |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adeevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to | lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | of the final rejection. | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 | (f) . | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee tatutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension of (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Ap was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | 41.37 must be filed within two mon CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal | ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a | g the Notice of |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell) | onsideration and/or search (see NC low); | OTE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | ., . | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | • | a processing |
| NOTE: Referring to claims 1, 12, and 14, the am device for communicating with the portable object object an order to execute a calculation of a resume issue that requires further consideration. The will not be entered. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.) | t and sending from said information It by applying to said one-way funct ne amended claims require further s | processing device to tion at least part of sa | the portable id códe raise |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | Compliant Amendmen | t (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). | | e, timely filed amendn | nent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2-15</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | nils to provide a (1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | - | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be | | | ance because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other: |). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |

| Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) |
|-------------------------------|
|-------------------------------|

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050330

W

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Michael Horabik
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600